Increased levels and defective glycosylation of MRPs in ovarian carcinoma cells resistant to oxaliplatin
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1. Introduction

Platinum (Pt) drugs are employed in a wide range of solid tumors, and represent the mainstay of the first-line therapy of ovarian carcinoma\cite{1}. In spite of the remarkable clinical success of Pt-based therapies, the emergence of drug resistance during therapy is a major cause of treatment failure\cite{1}. Development of non-cross-resistant analogs of cisplatin and carboplatin has generated a large number of compounds among which oxaliplatin (trans, 1,1,2-diaminocyclohexane oxalato Pt II) has been approved for clinical use\cite{2}. In preclinical studies, oxaliplatin has shown activity in some cisplatin-resistant cell lines with acquired resistance and in inherently cisplatin-resistant colorectal cancers\cite{3}. The capability of oxaliplatin to overcome cisplatin resistance in preclinical models is not a general feature and the cross-resistance between cisplatin and oxaliplatin has been reported to be dependent on the levels of cisplatin resistance. A cross-resistance has been observed in low-level resistance models while less cross-resistance has been reported in models with high (>10-fold) level resistance\cite{4}.

Oxaliplatin has activity and a favorable pharmacological profile in...
epithelial ovarian cancer as well[5]. Oxaliplatin and cisplatin exhibit different sensitivity profiles indicating differences in drug–DNA interaction and/or cellular response or detoxification [6]. For example, oxaliplatin produces fewer adducts than cisplatin and is able to overcome low level of cisplatin resistance associated with loss of DNA mismatch repair [78]. However, it is likely that differences in the influx or efflux mechanisms for these drugs also contribute to their unique patterns of clinical activity. For example, organic cation transporters have been proposed as determinants of oxaliplatin, but not cisplatin accumulation and cytotoxicity [9].

Extiensive studies on resistance to cisplatin have demonstrated that it is multi-factorial in nature. Indeed, the determinants of the reduced efficacy of antitumor therapy include (a) tumor-related factors, such as the activation of drug resistance mechanisms by tumor cells; (b) drug-related factors, such as inadequate intratumor concentration of the drug and (c) tumor–microenvironment interactions (e.g., hypoxia, microvesicle release) [10]. Cellular alterations of drug-resistant cells involve enhanced activity of detoxification mechanisms (e.g., increased detoxification by thiols), alterations in repair mechanisms (e.g., enhanced repair or increased DNA damage tolerance) and in the cellular response to DNA damage (e.g., DNA mismatch repair defects, reduced susceptibility to apoptosis) and alterations of survival pathways [11–13]. Acquired resistance to platinum compounds has been recently related to restoration of BRCA1/2 expression and homologous recombination function in tumors with frame-shift mutations of such genes [14]. Cells that have acquired resistance to cisplatin often have impaired drug uptake [15] and this has been linked to altered expression of genes involving copper metabolism [16–21]. Additional studies have implicated members of the ABC (ATP binding cassette) family of transporters such as multidrug resistance-associated protein (MRP) 2 and MRP1 in the accumulation defects found in cisplatin-resistant cells [22,23]. Based on similarity to other members of this family [24], it is likely that other ABC transporters are involved in regulating sensitivity to the Pt compounds. Since conventional cytotoxic drugs still represent the mainstay of antitumor therapy, the current study was designed to explore the molecular bases of resistance to the Pt drugs with particular reference to impaired accumulation using an ovarian carcinoma cell line selected in vitro for high level resistance to oxaliplatin and characterized by cross-resistance to clinically relevant cytotoxic agents. In fact, these IGROV-1/OHP cells also exhibited cross-resistance to cisplatin and markedly reduced Pt-drug accumulation.

Our findings show that decreased Pt-drug accumulation was associated with a defect in N-glycosylation involving ABC transporters as well as increased expression of MRP4 and MRP1. We provide evidence that, although in selected conditions, overexpression of MRP1 or MRP4 in tumor cells of ovarian origin results in acquisition of resistance to Pt drugs. Thus, the increased expression of ABC transporters in Pt-drug-resistant cells may contribute to the multidrug-resistant phenotype of the generated models.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Cell lines and cell sensitivity to drugs

The human ovarian carcinoma cell lines IGROV-1, IGROV-1/OHP, 2008 and the osteosarcoma U2-OS (ATCC, HTB 96) cell line were used in this study [13,25]. The resistant variant, IGROV-1/OHP, was selected by exposure of IGROV-1 cells to increasing oxaliplatin concentrations starting from 0.7 μg/ml. When cells showed suffering marks, the drug was removed. This step was repeated till cells were capable to growth in the presence of 0.7 μg/ml oxaliplatin. Cells were then exposed to increasing drug concentrations up to 10 μg/ml. When IGROV-1/OHP cells were grown in the absence of oxaliplatin, resistance was stable for at least 6 months. Cell growth rates were similar (doubling time: 22 h for IGROV-1, 25 h for IGROV-1/OHP). Cell sizes and morphology were also similar between parental and resistant cells. IGROV-1 and IGROV-1/OHP cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium (BioWhittaker, Verviers, Belgium) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Invitrogen Italia, San Giuliano Milanese, Italy), whereas the U2-OS cell line was grown in McCoy’s 5A medium supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum. U2-OS transfected cells were cultured in the presence of G418 (400 μg/ml; Calbiochem, San Diego, CA, USA). The cell sensitivity to drugs was measured by using a growth-inhibition assay based on cell counting [26]. Exponentially growing cells (25,000 cells/cm²) were seeded in duplicate into 6-well plates and exposed to drug 24 h later. After 1 h of drug incubation, the medium was replaced with fresh medium and cells were harvested 72 h later for counting with a cell counter. When assaying cell sensitivity to 6-mercaptopurine (Sigma–Aldrich, Milan, Italy), treatment was for 72 h and cells were counted at the end of treatment. IC50 is defined as the drug concentration producing 50% decrease of cell growth. At least three independent experiments were performed for each drug or type of treatment.

2.2. Drug accumulation studies

Exponentially growing cells (32,000 cells/cm²) were seeded in 5 cm diameter dishes in triplicate and, 48 h later, they were exposed to cisplatin or oxaliplatin for different times (30, 60 or 120 min) for time course experiments or for 1 h in case of dose response curves. Different concentrations including the IC50 and the IC100 of both cell lines were selected because they produce an effect on proliferation which can be measured 72 h after the end of the 1 h treatment. Therefore, such concentrations are pharmacologically relevant in in vitro tests. When assessing the effect of tunicamycin on drug accumulation, cells were incubated for 24 h with 0.2 μg/ml tunicamycin (Sigma–Aldrich), and then for 1 h with 300 μM cisplatin or oxaliplatin. After treatment with Pt drugs, cell monolayers were washed with ice-cold PBS, scraped, harvested and dissolved in 1 N NaOH [27]. When analyzing Pt drug efflux, cells were exposed to cisplatin or oxaliplatin for 15 min and, after washing with ice-cold PBS, they were incubated for different times in drug-free medium before harvesting for analysis of residual accumulated Pt. Residual accumulation after 15 min drug exposure was evaluated using a drug concentration which allows detection of Pt in resistant cells without direct damage to sensitive cells. Total cellular Pt content was determined by flameless atomic absorption spectroscopy (Model 3300, Perkin Elmer). Cellular Pt levels were expressed as ng/10⁶ cells, with cell number determined by counting parallel cultures. For each type of treatment at least three independent experiments were performed. Accumulation of the fluorescent compound rhodamine 123 in IGROV-1 and IGROV-1/OHP cells was assessed as described by Shen et al. [28]. Briefly, cells were incubated with 0.3 μM rhodamine 123 for incubation times ranging from 0 to 60 min and analysed using a FACScan (Becton Dickinson Italia SpA, Buccinasco, Italy).

2.3. Western blot analysis

Western blot analysis was carried out as described previously [29]. Briefly, samples (40 μg) were fractionated by SDS-PAGE and blotted on nitrocellulose membranes. Blots were pre-blocked in PBS containing 5% (w/v) dried non fat milk and then incubated overnight at 4 °C with antibodies to BCRP [30], MRP1 (Alexis Biochemicals, San Diego, CA), MRP2 [30], MRP3 [30] and MRP4
(Monosan, Valter Occhiena, Torino, Italy), CNPTG and B5 integrin (Abcam, UK), MCTA5 (Abnova, LiStarfish, cernusco sul Naviglio, Italy). Anti-tubulin antibody (Sigma Chemicals Co., St. Louis, MO) or anti Na+/K+ ATPase antibody [30] were used to control for loading. Antibody binding to blots was detected by chemiluminescence (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech., Cologno Monzese, Italy). Three independent experiments were performed.

2.4. Analysis of glycosylation of ABC transporters

Different endoglycosidases were used to remove sugar residues from the transporters in IGROV-1 cells. Exponentially growing cells were harvested and protein lysates were obtained both in native and denaturing conditions as described in manufacturer’s protocol (EDEGLY Enzymatic Protein Deglycosylation Kit, Sigma). The extracts were treated with PNGase F, O-glycosidase, sialidase A, β-N-acetylgalcosaminidase and β-1,4-galactosidase at 37 °C for 3 h. Western blot analysis was used to evaluate the glycosylation status of ABC transporters as described above.

2.5. MRP4 and MRP1 knockdown

Synthetic small interfering RNAs (siRNAs, Stealth™, Invitrogen) were used to knockdown MRP4 (5'-AAAUAUGUAAUGCCAGCGCU-GUCC-3') and MRP1 (5'-UCAUUUGGAUCAAAAGGCUAACGU-3') gene expression. Preliminary experiments were performed to define optimal siRNA concentrations and exposure times. The transfection efficiency was ~80%, as determined by AlexaFluor Red labeled siRNA (Invitrogen). The efficiency of down-regulation of target expression was monitored by Western blotting. Exponentially growing cells were seeded in 6-well plates and when sub-confluent, they were transfected with 100 nM MRP4 Stealth Select siRNA or control siRNA (MedGC RNAi negative control) using Lipofectamine RNAimax (Invitrogen). The efficiency of down-regulation of the target gene by Western blot analysis. Accumulation and efflux experiments were performed 100 nM in MRP4 Stealth Select siRNA or control siRNA (MedGC RNAi negative control) using Lipofectamine RNAimax (1.5 μl/well, Invitrogen). For each well, 100 μl of vehicle-siRNA mix prepared in Optimem I (Invitrogen) was added to 0.5 ml of serum-containing medium for 5 h, and then medium was replaced with fresh medium. Twenty hours later, cells were harvested and seeded for drug accumulation/efflux experiments or cell determination of sensitivity to oxaliplatin. Parallel cultures were used to assess the efficiency of knockdown of the target gene by Western blot analysis. Accumulation and efflux studies were performed 48 h post-transfection as detailed above. Cell sensitivity was determined as described above in cells exposed for 1 h to oxaliplatin. At least three independent experiments were performed.

2.6. Overexpression of MRP4 and MRP1

U2-OS, IGROV-1 and 2008 cells were stable transfected with pcMV6-Neo containing MRP1 and MRP4 (Origene, Rockville, MD) using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Cells were seeded in 6-well plates and 24 h after seeding DNA plasmids and transfection reagent were added. Stable transfecents were selected using G418 and G418-resistant cells were picked for further analysis.

2.7. Immunofluorescence analysis

U2-OS cells were plated on coverslips and 24 h after seeding were fixed in 3% paraformaldehyde, permeabilized with 100% cool methanol, and incubated with the anti-MRP1 (dilution: 1:50) or MRP4 (dilution: 1:50) antibodies (Alexis Biochemicals, Monosan) overnight at 4 °C. After washing with PBS, cells were incubated with a goat anti-rat IgG Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated antibody (dilution: 1:200) (Molecular Probes/Invitrogen) for 1 h at room temperature and then stained with Hoechst 33342. Coverslips were mounted in Mowiol and observed using an upright fluorescence microscope (Leica DMRB; Leica Microsystems) equipped with a CCD and analyzed with the IAS2000 software (Delta Sistemi).

2.8. Sequencing of putative N-glycosylation sites of MRP4

Bioinformatic tools available at www.expasy.ch were used to predict N-glycosylation sites of MRP4 and specific primers were designed to analyze the possible occurrence of mutations at those sites. cDNA from IGROV-1 and IGROV-1/OHP cells was obtained as described above. The designed primers (forward primer: 5'-ataaaggaaggaagccacagtcc-3'; reverse primer: 5'-ttagagactgctcctttggttt-3') were used to amplify the MRP4 cDNA. PCR conditions were as follows: initial denaturation at 95 °C for 2 min, followed by 30 cycles at 95 °C for 30 s, 55 °C for 30 s, 68 °C for 2 min, and finally 68 °C for 5 min. The same primers were used to sequence the amplified products.

2.9. Statistical analysis

The results are presented as mean ± S.D. Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad PRISM 4.0 (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA). We used a two-way ANOVA for comparisons. We considered values as significant when P < 0.05.

3. Results

3.1. Cells resistant to oxaliplatin are cross-resistant to cisplatin, topotecan and 6-mercaptopurine

The human ovarian carcinoma cell line IGROV-1/OHP was generated by repeated exposure of the ovarian IGROV-1 cell line to increasing concentrations of oxaliplatin. As shown in Table 1, the IGROV-1/OHP cells were 73-fold resistant to oxaliplatin and displayed 18-fold cross-resistance to cisplatin. A marked resistance to oxaliplatin was also supported by colony forming assays (Supplementary Fig. 1A). IGROV-1/OHP cells were also 10-fold cross-resistant to the DNA topoisomerase II inhibitor topotecan and 3.8-fold cross-resistant to 6-mercaptopurine, whereas resistance to the DNA topoisomerase II inhibitors etoposide and doxorubicin was low. A phenotypic characterization of IGROV-1/OHP cells indicated lower levels of apoptosis than in IGROV-1 cells after exposure to platinum drugs as shown by Annexin V binding, TUNEL assays and analyses of cleavage of caspases (Supplementary Table 1 and Supplementary Fig. 1B). In addition, when Ki67 was used as a marker of proliferation, immunofluorescence analysis of Ki67 levels in sensitive and resistant cells exposed to equitoxic concentrations of platinum drugs (IC50) indicated that treatment reduced the fraction of positive cells (Supplementary Table 2).

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean ± S.D. IC50 (μM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IGROV-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxaliplatin</td>
<td>12.7 ± 3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cisplatin</td>
<td>7.0 ± 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topotecan</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doxorubicin</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etoposide</td>
<td>8.1 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-Mercaptopurine</td>
<td>0.73 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Cell sensitivity was assessed by growth-inhibition assay. Cells were exposed to drug for 1 h and counted 72 h later. For 6-mercaptopurine, drug exposure was for 72 h and cells were counted at the end of treatment.

* IC50 represents the drug concentration producing 50% decrease of cell growth.

* RF, resistant index, is the ratio between the IC50 of resistant and sensitive cells.
Biochemical analyses of components of the apoptotic pathway showed that both sensitive and resistant cells expressed TRAIL-R1 and TRAIL-R2, components of the extrinsic apoptotic pathway (Supplementary Table 2). No activation of caspase 9 was detected in resistant cells together with a decreased activation of caspase 8 (Supplementary Fig. 1B).

Moreover, an investigation of biomarkers of angiogenesis, i.e. VEGF and PDGF, indicated that acquisition of resistance to oxaliplatin, associated with p53 mutation [13] and protein stabilization resulted in reduced expression of VEGF and lack of induction by drug treatment. In addition, an up-regulation of PDGF-A and -B was observed in both cell lines after oxaliplatin exposure (Supplementary Fig. 1C).

3.2. Resistant cells display an accumulation defect

Since reduced drug accumulation appears to be a common defense mechanism against Pt drugs [15], we examined Pt accumulation using flameless atomic absorption spectroscopy. IGROV-1 and IGROV-1/OHP cells were exposed to 100 or 200 μM oxaliplatin for 30, 60, or 120 min following which the cells were washed thoroughly and the Pt content determined. As shown in Fig. 1A, the resistant subline accumulated significantly less Pt at each time point and at both of the drug concentrations tested. Impaired accumulation was also evident when cells were exposed for 1 h to a range of increasing oxaliplatin concentrations (Fig. 1B). The accumulation of cisplatin was determined following a 1 h exposure to a concentration corresponding to the IC50 for the resistant cell subline (150 μM). Under these conditions the IGROV-1/OHP cells

Fig. 1. Pt accumulation in IGROV-1 and IGROV-1/OHP cell lines exposed to oxaliplatin or cisplatin. (A) Time-dependent accumulation of oxaliplatin in IGROV-1 and IGROV-1/OHP cells. Cells were exposed to 100 or 200 μM of oxaliplatin for 30, 60 and 120 min and the Pt content was determined. (■) Solid line IGROV-1 100 μM; ( ●) squared dot line IGROV-1/OHP 100 μM; (△) solid line IGROV-1 200 μM; (○) squared dot line IGROV-1/OHP 200 μM. (B) Concentration-dependent accumulation of oxaliplatin in IGROV-1 and IGROV-1/OHP after 1 h drug exposure. ( ■) IGROV-1; ( ●) squared dot line IGROV-1/OHP. (C) Residual Pt content in IGROV-1 and IGROV-1/OHP cells as a function of time following exposure to 300 μM oxaliplatin for 15 min. Pt content was determined after that cells were treated with 300 μM oxaliplatin for 15 min and incubated in drug-free medium for different times. ( ■) IGROV-1; ( ●) squared dot line IGROV-1/OHP. (D) Residual Pt content in IGROV-1 and IGROV-1/OHP as a function of time following exposure to 300 μM cisplatin for 15 min. ( ■) IGROV-1; ( ●) squared dot line IGROV-1/OHP. Pt content was analyzed using flameless atomic absorption spectroscopy. The reported values are the mean (±S.D.) of three independent experiments.

Fig. 2. Western blot analysis of the levels of MRPs and BCRP in IGROV-1 and IGROV-1/OHP cells. Western blot analysis of MRP1–MRP4 and BCRP was performed using total protein extracts and control loading is shown by β-tubulin; (+) positive control. For MRP1: human leukemic lymphoid CEM cells, for MRP2, MRP3 and MRP4: human embryonic kidney HEK293 cells transfected with MRP2, MRP3 and MRP4 cDNA, respectively, for BCRP: human breast cancer MCF-7/MR cells resistant to mitoxantrone; (* ) migration of deglycosylated protein.
accumulated 18.0 ± 0.8 (±S.D.) ng Pt/10⁶ cells whereas the parental cells accumulated 43.8 ± 1.1 (±S.D.) ng Pt/10⁶ cells (P < 0.05, ANOVA). Reduced accumulation of Pt drugs was not associated with a generalized defect in accumulation of small molecules, as assessed using the fluorescent compound rhodamine 123 [28]. In fact, both cell lines displayed similar rates of rhodamine 123 accumulation (data not shown). Thus, development of resistance to oxaliplatin resulted in impaired accumulation of both oxaliplatin and cisplatin.

3.3. Efflux of oxaliplatin and cisplatin

We examined whether the impaired accumulation of oxaliplatin and cisplatin in the IGROV-1/OHP cells was due to enhanced efflux. The parental IGROV-1 and resistant IGROV-1/OHP cells were loaded by exposure to 300 μM oxaliplatin or cisplatin for 15 min; they were then washed and the amount of Pt remaining after incubation in drug-free medium was determined every 15 min for up to 1 h. Following loading with either oxaliplatin or cisplatin, the Pt content of the IGROV-1 cells decreased progressively with time. After 1 h the IGROV-1 cells contained only 50% of their initial load of oxaliplatin or cisplatin (Fig. 1C and D). In contrast no significant efflux was observed from the IGROV-1/OHP cells following loading with either drug.

3.4. Western blot analysis of levels of ABC transporters

Based on prior reports indicating that some of the ABC transporters may be implicated in the Pt-drug accumulation defect found in resistant cells [22,23], we compared the level of expression of MRP1–MRP4 and BCRP in the IGROV-1 and IGROV-1/OHP cells (Fig. 2). The MRP2, MRP3 and BCRP were not expressed in either cell type. The Western blot analysis demonstrated both an increase in MRP1 and MRP4 expression in the resistant cells and increased mobility of both proteins.

3.5. Analysis of glycosylation of MRPs

The increased mobility of MRP1 and MRP4 suggested a defect in glycosylation. To determine whether impaired glycosylation could produce this effect, parental IGROV-1 cells were exposed to different glycosylation inhibitors and then harvested for Western blot analysis. The IGROV-1 cells were exposed to castanospermine, swansonine and tunicamycin, which act as α-glucosidase, α-mannosidase and N-linked glycosidase inhibitors, respectively. Castanospermine and swansonine did not modify MRP1 or MRP4 mobility (Fig. 3A). However, tunicamycin, which blocks the first step in the biosynthesis of N-linked oligosaccharides, increased...
MRP1 and MRP4 mobility in a manner similar to that observed in the IGROV-1/OHP cells (Fig. 3A).

To further document that reduced N-glycosylation could account for the increased mobility of MRP1 and MRP4, IGROV-1 cell lysates were treated with a variety of different endoglycosidases including PNGase F, O-glycosidase, sialidase A, β-N-acetylgalactosaminidase and β-1,4-galactosidase. Treatment with sialidase A, β-N-acetylglucosaminidase and PNGase F, which removes all N-linked oligosaccharides from the asparagine residues of proteins, enhanced MRP4 migration (Fig. 3B). Only PNGaseF had a clear effect on the mobility of MRP1. Among the tested enzymes, PNGase F was the enzyme that had the largest effect on MRP4 glycosylation (Fig. 3B).

3.6. Accumulation of Pt drugs in cells pretreated with tunicamycin

To test the effect of impaired protein glycosylation on accumulation of oxaliplatin and cisplatin, the IGROV-1 and IGROV-1/OHP cells were treated with 0.2 μg/ml tunicamycin for 24 h before 1 h exposure to Pt drugs (300 μM for both oxaliplatin and cisplatin). Pt accumulation was analyzed using flameless atomic absorption spectroscopy. The reported values are the mean (±S.D.) of three independent experiments. (B) Western blot analysis of samples prepared from untransfected cells, cells transfected for 5 h with a MRP4 targeting siRNA or with a control siRNA and harvested 48 h later. Untransfected cells were exposed to vehicle alone. Control loading is shown by β-tubulin. Experiment was performed three times and a representative image is reported. (C) Intracellular Pt accumulation in IGROV-1 and IGROV-1/OHP cells exposed for 15 min to 300 μM oxaliplatin or cisplatin. Black columns, untransfected cells; white columns, cells transfected with MRP4 siRNA; grey columns, cells transfected with control siRNA. *P < 0.05 for MRP4 siRNA vs. untransfected and control siRNA (ANOVA). (D) Effect of MRP4 knockdown on sensitivity of IGROV-1/OHP cells to oxaliplatin. Cells were exposed to oxaliplatin for 1 h and counted after 96 h. The reported values are the mean (±S.D.) of three independent determinations. (●) IGROV-1/OHP transfected with control siRNA; (○) squared dot line IGROV1/OHP transfected with MRP4 targeting siRNA.

3.7. Effect of knockdown of MRP4 on Pt-drug accumulation

To further examine the contribution of MRP4 to the accumulation of oxaliplatin and cisplatin, MRP4 expression was reduced in the IGROV-1 cells by transient transfection of a siRNA duplex. Transfection of the siRNA duplex targeting the MRP4 mRNA reduced the level of MRP4 protein to almost undetectable levels (Fig. 4B). As shown in Fig. 4C this was associated with a 31%
increase in the accumulation of oxaliplatin, and a 36% increase in the accumulation of cisplatin, when the cells were exposed to these drugs at a concentration of 300 μM drug for 15 min.

3.8. Effect of knockdown of MRP4 on cell sensitivity

To establish whether MRP4 was indeed involved in resistance to oxaliplatin, we examined the effect of knocking down MRP4 expression on sensitivity to the cytotoxic effect of oxaliplatin in the resistant IGROV-1/OHP cells. As shown in Fig. 4D, knockdown of MRP4 expression on sensitivity to the cytotoxic effect of oxaliplatin; the IC₅₀ was reduced from 921 ± 5 (±S.D.) to 459 ± 2 (±S.D.) μM (ANOVA P < 0.05).

3.9. Effect of knockdown of MRP1 on Pt-drug accumulation and cell sensitivity

Transient transfection of a siRNA duplex targeting MRP1 mRNA was also used to examine the contribution of MRP1 expression to the accumulation of oxaliplatin and cisplatin. As shown in Fig. 5A, the siRNA reduced the level of MRP1 protein to almost undetectable levels. No change in oxaliplatin and cisplatin accumulation was observed in IGROV-1 cells transfected with siRNA targeting MRP1 (Fig. 5B). Thus, unlike MRP4, MRP1 does not appear to modulate sensitivity to oxaliplatin in IGROV-1/OHP cells under our experimental conditions (Fig. 5C).

3.10. Overexpression of MRP4 and MRP1

To further examine the role of MRP1 and MRP4 in drug resistance, mammalian expressing vectors carrying the transporters were used to transfect IGROV-1 and 2008 ovarian carcinoma cell lines. No stable transfectants of IGROV-1 cells were obtained for MRP4. MRP1 overexpression was achieved in IGROV-1 cells and was maintained for a period of time allowing us to perform cell sensitivity assays (Fig. 6A). In 2008 cells, stable transfectants of MRP4 were obtained (Fig. 6A). A persistent overexpression of both transporters was achieved only in the highly transfectable U2-OS cell line (Fig. 6B). Thus, we used all these cell models to clarify whether MRP1 and MRP4 are involved in regulating drug sensitivity. As reported in Table 2, MRP1 and MRP4 overexpressing U2-OS cells were slightly resistant to topotecan and 6-mercaptopurine. No change in cellular sensitivity to oxaliplatin and cisplatin was observed. Interestingly, overexpression of MRP1 in IGROV-1 cells resulted in resistance to oxaliplatin, 6-mercaptopurine and topotecan (Table 3). An important resistance to oxaliplatin and cisplatin was observed in MRP4 overexpressing 2008 ovarian cancer cells (Table 4).

3.11. Sequencing of putative N-glycosylation sites of MRP4 and expression of selected glycosyltransferases

Although the low spontaneous mutation frequency is unlikely to generate mutations in the potential N-glycosylation sites in MRP4, oxaliplatin is quite mutagenic to mammalian cells [31] and such mutations may have arisen during the selection process. To determine whether mutation of the N-glycosylation sites in MRP4 could account for the observed glycosylation defect, we sequenced the putative glycosylation sites with primers designed using web available tools. However, no mutations were found in the four asparagine residues (N651, N746, N754 and N792) in MRP4.

In an attempt to better understand the bases of the N-glycosylation defect of oxaliplatin-resistant cells, we examined whether it involved other cellular proteins not belonging to the ABC transporters system. We found that IGROV-1/OHP cells exhibited a defect in the glycosylation of the cell surface receptor β5 integrin (Fig. 7). Western blot analyses of selected glycosyltransferase supported that the IGROV-1/OHP glycosylation defects were linked to reduced levels of N-acetylgalactosamine-1-phospho transferase (GNPTG), an enzyme involved in the initial step of the biosynthesis of N-glycans and of mannosyl (alpha-1,6-) glycoprotein beta-1,6-N-acetyl-glucosaminyltransferase (MGAT5) (Fig. 7). The expression levels of these glycosyltransferases were similar in the IGROV-1 ovarian carcinoma cells and in the U2-OS cells (data not shown).

4. Discussion

Since conventional cytotoxic agents still represent the mainstay of antitumor therapy, the present study was designed to define the biochemical and cellular bases of resistance to antitumor drugs with particular reference to Pt compounds, taking advantage of a model system exhibiting a multidrug-resistant phenotype. Resis-
tance to platinum compounds in IGROV-1/OHP cells was associated with reduced susceptibility to drug-induced apoptosis, as shown by quantitative measurements of apoptotic cells and by analyses of cleavage of caspases of the intrinsic and extrinsic apoptotic pathways. In the ovarian carcinoma subline selected for resistance to oxaliplatin and characterized by defects in drug accumulation, we found that increased levels of MRP1 and MRP4 in resistant cells were associated with a glycosylation defect which involves N-linked oligosaccharides. Since no significant efflux was observed in resistant cells following loading with cisplatin and oxaliplatin, the mechanisms leading to reduced intracellular content of both drugs are expected to act very early upon drug exposure, as already reported for Gp170 [24]. The electrophoretic mobility of MRP1 and MRP4 was altered in cells selected for resistance to oxaliplatin, and inhibition of the synthesis of N-linked sugars or removal of such sugars with endoglycosidases reproduces this effect. Similarly, \(\beta\)-integrin also showed glycosylation defects in IGROV-1/OHP cells. This behaviour, observed in different glycosylated proteins of resistant cells, was linked to reduced levels of two glycosyltransferases, i.e., N-acetylglucosamine-1-phosphotransferase (GNPTG) and of mannosyl (alpha-1,6-)-glycoprotein beta-1,6-N-acetyl-glucosaminyltransferase (MGAT5). Interestingly, an analysis of MRP1 and MRP4 mRNA levels using Real

Fig. 6. Expression of MRP1 and MRP4 in transfected IGROV-1, 2008 and U2-OS cell lines. (A) Western blot assay of MRP1 in IGROV-1 cells transfected with MRP1 overexpressing vector and of MRP4 in 2008 cells transfected with MRP4 expressing vector. MRP1 and MRP4 levels were evaluated using total protein extracts. Control loading is shown by \(\beta\)-tubulin. (B) Expression of MRP1 and MRP4 in U2-OS transfected cells. For Western blot analysis MRP1 and MRP4 levels were evaluated using total protein extracts. Control loading is shown by tubulin. For immunofluorescence analysis cells were cultured on coverslips and then processed for immunofluorescence assay.

Table 2
Sensitivity of U2-OS cells overexpressing MRP1 and MRP4 to different cytotoxins.\(^a\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean ± S.D. IC(_{50}) ((\mu)M)(^b)</th>
<th>U2-OS/Neo</th>
<th>U2-OS/MRP1 RI(^c)</th>
<th>U2-OS/MRP4 RI(^c)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oxaliplatin</td>
<td>100.1 ± 11.7</td>
<td>96.43 ± 9.1 (0.96)</td>
<td>104.7 ± 27 (1.05)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cisplatin</td>
<td>14.47 ± 2.0</td>
<td>18.59 ± 5.5 (1.3)</td>
<td>15.49 ± 2.0 (1.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topotecan</td>
<td>0.07 ± 0.004</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.05 (2.9)</td>
<td>0.23 ± 0.03 (3.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-Mercaptopurine</td>
<td>1.76 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.98 ± 0.3 (1.7)</td>
<td>3.29 ± 0.3 (1.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Cell sensitivity was assessed by growth-inhibition assay. Cells were exposed to drug for 1 h and counted 72 h later. For 6-mercaptopurine, drug exposure was for 72 h and cells were counted at the end of treatment.

\(^b\) IC\(_{50}\) represents the drug concentration producing 50% decrease of cell growth.

\(^c\) RI, resistant index, is the ratio between the IC\(_{50}\) of MRP1 or MRP4 expressing cells and the IC\(_{50}\) of empty vector transfected cells.

Table 3
Sensitivity of IGROV-1 cells transiently overexpressing MRP1 to different cytotoxins.\(^a\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean ± S.D. IC(_{50}) ((\mu)M)(^b)</th>
<th>IGROV-1/Neo</th>
<th>IGROV-1/MRP1</th>
<th>RI(^c)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oxaliplatin</td>
<td>4.15 ± 1.4</td>
<td>18.85 ± 4.4</td>
<td>(4.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cisplatin</td>
<td>0.43 ± 0.4</td>
<td>14.5 ± 0.7</td>
<td>(34)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topotecan</td>
<td>0.03 ± 0.01</td>
<td>2.6 ± 2.3</td>
<td>(8.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Cell sensitivity was assessed by growth-inhibition assay. Cells were exposed to drug for 1 h and counted 72 h later. For 6-mercaptopurine, drug exposure was for 72 h and cells were counted at the end of treatment.

\(^b\) IC\(_{50}\) represents the drug concentration producing 50% decrease of cell growth.

\(^c\) RI, resistant index, is the ratio between the IC\(_{50}\) of MRP1 expressing cells and cells transfected with empty vector.

Table 4
Sensitivity of 2008 cells overexpressing MRP4 to oxaliplatin and cisplatin.\(^a\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean ± S.D. IC(_{50}) ((\mu)M)(^b)</th>
<th>2008/Neo</th>
<th>U2-OS/MRP4</th>
<th>RI(^c)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oxaliplatin</td>
<td>5.7 ± 4.306</td>
<td>67.7 ± 9.6</td>
<td>(11.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cisplatin</td>
<td>2.5 ± 1.87</td>
<td>25.6 ± 4.06</td>
<td>(10.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Cell sensitivity was assessed by growth-inhibition assay. Cells were exposed to drug for 1 h and counted 72 h later.

\(^b\) IC\(_{50}\) represents the drug concentration producing 50% decrease of cell growth.

\(^c\) RI, resistant index, is the ratio between the IC\(_{50}\) of MRP4 expressing cells and the IC\(_{50}\) of empty vector transfected cells.
time PCR (data not shown), indicated that increased levels of the two proteins were not directly related to the mRNA levels as only for MRP4 a slight increase (1.7-fold) in the transcript level was observed in resistant cells.

Knockdown of MRP4 but not of MRP1 expression modulated both the accumulation of oxaliplatin and sensitivity to its cytotoxic effects. In addition, the overexpression of fully glycosylated MRP4 or MRP1 in tumor cell lines of ovarian origin was associated with resistance to Pt drugs. An association between increased expression of MRP1 and resistance to cisplatin has been previously reported in a panel of lung cancer cell lines not selected in vitro for drug resistance [32], but no evidence of resistance to Pt drugs in cells overexpressing exogenous MRP1 has been provided [33]. In the present study, the employed gain of function approach suggests that, at least in ovarian carcinoma models, oxaliplatin and cisplatin are substrates for MRP1 and MRP4, which appear to transport also topotecan, a known MRP4 substrate, and 6-mercaptopurine, one of the most important substrates of MRP4 [34–36]. Indeed, the MRP4 overexpressing IGROV-1/OHP cells were found to be cross-resistant to topotecan and to 6-mercaptopurine. Thus, selection for resistance to oxaliplatin resulted in alterations conferring a multidrug-resistant phenotype involving cisplatin and the non-Pt-drug topotecan and 6-mercaptopurine. It remains to be defined whether glycosylation defective forms of MRPs can provide the capability to transport different substrates. Indeed, although using a loss of function approach we could not selectively knockdown only the fully glycosylated form of MRP4, our results suggest a possible relevance of the glycosylated defective transporter in cellular resistance to Pt drugs.

The fact that both inhibition of glycosylation with tunicamycin and removal of N-linked sugars with endoglycosidases in parental cells, reproduced the glycosylation defects observed in IGROV-1/OHP cells, supports the occurrence of a defective N-glycosylation in Pt-resistant cells. In addition, since IGROV-1/OHP cells are null for MRP4 and MRP1 glycosylation and fully glycosylated transporters are detectable in Western blot, the small changes in Pt-drug accumulation observed in tunicamycin treated resistant cells are the result of an additional increase of deglycosylated transporters. Our results confirm and extend previous observations from other laboratories showing that altered glycosylation of MRP1 is found in cells selected for resistance to the Pt drugs [23], and further suggests a link between defective N-glycosylation of MRPs and drug resistance. In addition, findings relative to the role of glycosylation in determining access to the extracellular domains of human MRP1 have already been reported, although no evidences that glycosylation really alters its activity as a transporter have been provided [37]. The observation that treatment of the parental IGROV-1 cells with tunicamycin reproduced the change in mobility and reduced the accumulation of both oxaliplatin and cisplatin supports the concept that when not fully glycosylated MRP4 may enhance efflux of these drugs. Recent reports indicate that other transporters of the ABC superfamily can exhibit glycosylation defects that result in altered localization [23,38]. At present, the conclusive significance of such post-translational modifications is poorly elucidated and controversial data have been reported [39,40].

The finding that the levels of ABC transporters are increased in cells selected for resistance to oxaliplatin indicates that oxaliplatin-resistant cells acquire molecular features that can result in increased resistance also to non-Pt-based agents. This feature may have relevant clinical implications in the optimization of the treatment of ovarian carcinoma, because these MRPs may serve to reduce access of other drugs to critical intracellular targets, thus contributing to the multidrug-resistant phenotype. In addition, since MRP4 has been implicated in cell signalling pathways [41], it is conceivable that inactivation of MRP4 increases sensitivity to Pt drugs by affecting cellular factors unrelated to drug transport.

Our results support the conclusion that repeated exposure of the parental cells to oxaliplatin resulted in selection of cells expressing high level of resistance to oxaliplatin as well as cross-resistance to cisplatin, topotecan, and 6-mercaptopurine. Although this phenotype was associated with changes in the level of expression and electrophoretic mobility of MRP1 and MRP4, this feature may be only one of the resistance mechanisms to oxaliplatin that operate in the resistant cells. This interpretation is supported by the evidence that MRP4 knockdown in IGROV-1/OHP cells did not modulate Pt accumulation under our experimental conditions. The role of MRP1 and MRP4 in resistance to Pt compounds appears dependent on the cellular context as overexpression in osteosarcoma cells did not confer resistance to oxaliplatin and cisplatin. However, a recent report showing that MRP1 is an adverse marker for the outcome of ovarian carcinoma patients supports the relevance of the transporters in ovarian carcinoma aggressiveness [42].

Overall, these findings may have relevance to the design of therapeutic strategies. Since the development of resistance to oxaliplatin can result in up-regulation of MRPs, patients with oxaliplatin-refractory ovarian carcinomas may benefit from non-Pt-based regimes which do not contain MRP1 and MRP4 substrates.
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